

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMBRCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/031,092	01/11/2002		Jolyon Jesty	0974/1F828-US1	6018	
7278	7590	05/19/2005		EXAMINER		
DARBY & P. O. BOX 5		P.C.	VENCI, DAVID J			
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•			1641		

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/031,092	JESTY ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	David J. Venci	1641						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app								
Period for Reply		,						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133)						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Febru	uary 28, 2005.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-20</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	۲.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori								
application from the International Bureau		a m tillo mational otago						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
AM-share W.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Interview Comme	(DTO 412)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/28/05.	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)						
0.00								

Application/Control Number: 10/031,092

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges Applicants' Reply filed February 28, 2005, which amended claims 1-8 and 11-19, and cancelled claims 9-10. Currently, claims 1-8 and 11-20 are under examination.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 6-7 and 16 is withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 6, the paragraph beginning "The term 'platelet activation state'...", the recitation of "thrombinase" in the last sentence is indefinite because it is not clear what enzyme is referenced.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-8 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

In claims 1 and 13, the recitation of "the property" lacks antecedent basis and is indefinite because it is not clear what physical structures and/or procedural steps are required for "said product" or "said substrate" to have "the property."

In claim 1, the claim preamble does not appear to correspond to the method outcome. For example, the preamble recites "a method for assaying activation state of platelets" while step b recites the step of "assaying a [thrombin] product." It is not clear how "assaying a [thrombin] product" amounts to an assay for "activation state of platelets."

In claim 4, the recitation of "exogenous" is indefinite because the point of reference(s) defining the boundary between "exogenous" and "not exogenous" is/are not clear.

In claims 13-14, the recitation of "an assay of said product" is indefinite because it is not clear what compounds and/or instruments are encompassed by "an assay." In claim 14, it is not clear what compounds and/or instruments are encompassed by each of the recited Markush members.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-4, 7-8, 11, 13-14, 16 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henriksen et al., 66 J. CLIN. INVEST. 934 (1980), in view of Hemker & Wagenvoord (US 5,266,462).

Henriksen et al. describe a method for assaying prothrombin activation comprising the steps of: providing a mixture comprising a prothrombin-converting enzyme (see p. 935, col. 1, line 9, "taipan snake venom", line 19, "factor Xa"), and a substrate of said prothrombin-converting enzyme (see p. 935, col. 1, line 8, "prothrombins"), assaying a product (see p. 935, col. 1, line 10, "thrombin and thrombin Quick

concentrations were determined"), said product having the property that said product does not activate

platelets (see Abstract, "1/20-1/50 as effective in activating Factors V and VIII and aggregating platelets").

Henriksen et al. do not teach a mixture comprising platelets.

However, Hemker & Wagenvoord teach a method using platelets (see Title) for assaying prothrombin

activation (see col. 3, lines 47-49). Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in

the art to perform the method for assaying prothrombin activation, as described by Henriksen et al., with a

mixture comprising platelets because Hemker & Wagenvoord confirmed that assaying prothrombin

activation in the presence of platelets "is a good tool to measure the susceptibility of platelets to thrombin

induced activation" (see col. 9, lines 4-6), and is useful in the development of drugs that inhibit platelet

aggregation (see col. 15, lines 19-21).

With respect to claim 2, Henriksen et al. describe a method comprising a modified thrombin product (see

p. 935, col. 1, line 10, "thrombin Quick concentrations were determined").

With respect to claim 3, Henriksen et al. describe a method comprising assaying a catalytic activity of said

modified thrombin (see Table I, Fibrinogen).

With respect to claim 8 and 14, Henriksen et al. describe a method comprising a fluorescence proximity

assay (see Fig. 4).

With respect to claim 11, Henriksen et al. describe a method comprising detecting cleavage of a peptide

(see Table I, Fibrinogen).

With respect to claim 20, Henriksen et al. describe a method comprising a syringe (see p. 935, col. 1,

second full paragraph, "venipuncture") and water (see p. 935, col. 1, fifth full paragraph).

Art Unit: 1641

Claims 5-6 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henriksen et al., 66 J. CLIN. INVEST. 934 (1985), and Hemker & Wagenvoord (US 5,266,462) as applied to claims 1, 2 and 13, and further in view of Harris & Kozlowski (US 6,541,543).

Henriksen et al. and Hemker & Wagenvoord describe a method for assaying prothrombin activation as substantially described supra. The aforementioned references do not teach a prothrombin chemically derivatized with an acetyl group donated by sulfo-N-succinimidyl acetate.

However, Harris & Kozlowski teach the use of sulfo-N-succinimidyl acetate (see col. 15, lines 60-61) for derivatizing proteins. Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to perform the method of Henriksen et al. and Hemker & Wagenvoord with prothrombin chemically derivatized with sulfo-N-succinimidyl acetate because Harris & Kozlowski discovered that sulfo-N-succinimidyl acetate increases protein solubility and decreases immunogenicity (see col. 4, lines 61-65).

Claims 12 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henriksen et al., 66 J. CLIN. INVEST. 934 (1985), and Hemker & Wagenvoord (US 5,266,462) as applied to claims 1-3, 11 and 13, and further in view of Lottenberg et al., 80 METHODS ENZYMOL. 341 (1981).

Henriksen et al. and Hemker & Wagenvoord describe a method for assaying prothrombin activation as substantially described supra. The aforementioned references do not teach a Tos-Gly-Pro-Arg-pNA chromogenic peptide.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,092

Art Unit: 1641

However, Lottenberg et al. teach the use of Tos-Gly-Pro-Arg-pNA chromogenic peptide (see Table II) for

assaying thrombin activity. Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art

to perform the method of Henriksen et al. and Hemker & Wagenvoord with Tos-Gly-Pro-Arg-pNA

chromogenic peptide because Lottenberg et al. teach peptides provide a sensitive assays having the

convenience of spectrophotometric or fluorometric measurements (see p. 341, Introduction).

Response to Arguments

In the Office Action dated July 23, 2004, claims 1-5, 8-15 and 17-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.

102(b) or 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in view of Szczekllik et al., 80 BLOOD 2006 (1992). These rejections are

withdrawn in light of Applicants' amendment to the claims. Discussion of Szczekllik et al. is rendered

moot.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to David J. Venci whose telephone number is 571-272-2879. The examiner can normally be

reached on 08:00 - 16:30 (EST). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/031,092

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J Venci Examiner Art Unit 1641 Page 7

djv

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNIOLOGY CENTER 1600

05/16/11